

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE District of Alabama  
Northern Division

JOHN INMAN #234821 }  
vs. }  
State of Alabama, et al. }

CV: 2-05-CV-526-T

WD

JUNE 24<sup>th</sup> 2005

"MOTION FOR COURT TO SERVE A 'Special Report'  
UPON THE DEFENDANTS REMAINING"

NOW COMES THE PLAINTIFF JOHN INMAN IN RESPONSE TO THE  
COURT'S "RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE" DATED  
JUNE 15<sup>th</sup> 05, ON THE ABOVE STYLED CASE FOR GOOD & JUST  
CAUSE.

THE PLAINTIFF RECOGNIZES AN OBJECTION TO SAID REPORT WOULD  
BE USELESS BASED ON CASE LAW IN PLACE. HONORABLE HAS CITED  
AS THE LAW OF THE LAND. THE PLAINTIFF'S ONLY ARGUMENT THERE  
WOULD BE THAT THE STATE DEPT OF CONNECTIONS AS A STATE AGENCY  
AND THAT THE REMAINING DEFENDANTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO  
CARRY LIABILITY INSURANCE ON ANY VEHICLE THEY PUT ON THE ROAD  
OR THAT A STATE EMPLOYEE OR STATE AGENT, ACTING UNDER THE COLOR  
OF AUTHORITY OF LAW SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO DO SO - JUST AS  
EVERY CITIZEN IS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN LIABILITY INSURANCE  
BEFORE BEING ABLE TO GET A TAG IN THIS STATE. THIS STATEMENT  
IS MADE FOR COMMENT PURPOSES ONLY & DOES NOT REQUIRE A  
COURT RESPONSE.

THE PLAINTIFF HONORS THE COURT'S DECISION HANDED DOWN  
& REQUESTS THAT HONORABLE PROCEED TO SERVE A COPY OF

RECEIVED  
2005 JUN 26 PM 5:11  
DEBRA F. HANCOCK, CLERK  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

a special report upon the remaining defendants spelling out to them the Claim Filed under 42-1983 Civil suit regarding injury & damages.

Respectfully submitted  
John Annan